

The word problem*

Yves Lafont
Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy[†]
Université de la Méditerranée (Aix-Marseille 2) - CNRS

April 2, 2007

Using rewriting techniques, we get a quite simple proof of undecidability of the word problem for groups (*Novikov-Boone theorem*).

*Work partly supported by ANR project INVAL (*Invariants algébriques des systèmes informatiques*).

[†]163 avenue de Luminy, Case 907 - 13288 Marseille CEDEX 9 - France

1 Register machines

A (deterministic) 2-register machine \mathcal{R} is given by a sequence r_1, \dots, r_n where each r_i is an instruction of one of the following two forms:

- increment x (or increment y) and go to j (or stop);
- if $x = 0$ (or $y = 0$) then go to j (or stop) else decrement it and go to k (or stop).

For instance, the following machine performs multiplication by 2 (starting with $y = 0$):

1. if $x = 0$ then stop else decrement it and go to 2;
2. increment y and go to 3.
3. increment y and go to 1.

Exercise 1 Build a 2-register machine for quotient and rest modulo 2.

Exercise 2 Prove that 3 registers can be simulated by 2 registers.

Indication: Start with $2^x 3^y 5^z$ in the first register and 0 in the second one.

A configuration is a triple (i, x, y) where $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $i = 0$ means stop. Each instruction yields one or two transitions of the following kinds:

$$\begin{aligned} (i, x, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (j, x + 1, y), & (i, x, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (j, x, y + 1), \\ (i, 0, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (j, 0, y), & (i, x, 0) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (j, x, 0), \\ (i, x + 1, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (k, x, y), & (i, x, y + 1) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (k, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, our first example of machine corresponds to the following transitions:

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (0, 0, y), & (1, x + 1, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (2, x, y), \\ (2, x, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (3, x, y + 1), & (3, x, y) &\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (1, x, y + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the preordering $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ and the equivalence relation $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ generated by $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$, and we consider the following problem:

Special halting problem: given (i, x, y) , do we have $(i, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* (0, 0, 0)$?

Exercise 3 Prove that $(i, x, y) \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* (0, 0, 0)$ if and only if $(i, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* (0, 0, 0)$.

Indication: Use the fact that \mathcal{R} is a deterministic machine.

Theorem 1 The special halting problem is undecidable for some 2-register machine.

Proof : Encode the halting problem for some universal Turing machine.

2 Some decision problems

If S, R is a finite presentation of a monoid M , we consider the following problems:

Unit: given $x \in S^*$, do we have $x \leftrightarrow_R^* 1$?

Equality: given $x, y \in S^*$, do we have $x \leftrightarrow_R^* y$?

Commutation: given $x, y \in S^*$, do we have $xy \leftrightarrow_R^* yx$?

Note that unit and commutation are special cases of the equality problem.

For any other finite presentation S', R' of M , we have a morphism $\varphi : S^* \rightarrow S'^*$ such that $x \leftrightarrow_R^* y$ if and only if $\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow_{R'}^* \varphi(y)$. Hence, the decidability of such a problem depends only on the monoid M .

Proposition 1 *The unit problem is undecidable for some finitely presented monoid.*

Hence, equality is undecidable for this monoid.

Proof: Given any 2-register machine \mathcal{R} , we introduce symbols $a, b, c_0, \dots, c_n, d, e$, and we encode a configuration (i, x, y) by the word $[i, x, y] = ab^x c_i d^y e$. Each transition yields a rule of one of the following kinds:

$$c_i \rightarrow bc_j, \quad c_i \rightarrow c_j d, \quad ac_i \rightarrow ac_j, \quad c_i e \rightarrow c_j e, \quad bc_i \rightarrow c_k, \quad c_i d \rightarrow c_k d.$$

We add the rule $ac_0 e \rightarrow 1$, and since \mathcal{R} is deterministic, we get a finite orthogonal rewrite system S, R such that $(i, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* (0, 0, 0)$ if and only if $[i, x, y] \rightarrow_R^* 1$. Furthermore, we have $u \rightarrow_R^* 1$ if and only if $u \leftrightarrow_R^* 1$ by the Church-Rosser property.

To sum up, the special halting problem for \mathcal{R} reduces to the unit problem for S, R . Hence, we can apply theorem 1. \square

In fact, we could also directly encode the halting problem for a Turing machine or for a 2-stack machine. The proof would be essentially the same.

Exercise 4 *Prove that commutation is undecidable for some finitely presented monoid.*

Indication: Reduce the unit problem for M to the commutation problem for $M * \{a\}^*$.

For groups, the equality problem is equivalent to the unit problem, since we have $x = y$ if and only if $xy^{-1} = 1$. This is called the *word problem*.

Theorem 2 *The word problem is undecidable for some finitely presented group.*

The rest of this chapter is devoted to the proof of this nontrivial theorem. Indeed, the proof of proposition 1 cannot be easily extended to the case of groups, because inverses interfere with any naive encoding of machines.

3 Partial isomorphisms

We write $H < G$ if H is a subgroup of G . A *partial isomorphism* is an isomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ where $H, K < G$. If H is finitely generated, we say that φ is *finitary*. More generally, a *partial bijection* is a bijection $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ where $X, Y \subset G$.

Exercise 5 Prove that for any partial affine bijection $\varphi : u + H \rightarrow v + K$ in some additive group G , there is a partial isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}(1 + u) + H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1 + v) + K$ in the additive group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$ such that $\psi(1 + x) = 1 + \varphi(x)$ whenever $x \in u + H$.

If Φ is a set of partial bijections in G , we write $x \rightarrow_{\Phi} \varphi(x)$ whenever $x \in X$ for some $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ in Φ , and we introduce the equivalence relation \leftrightarrow_{Φ}^* generated by \rightarrow_{Φ} . For any $x_0 \in G$, we consider the following problem:

Connection: given $x \in G$, do we have $x \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* x_0$?

Proposition 2 The connection problem is undecidable for some finite set of finitary partial isomorphisms in some finitely presented group.

Proof: We encode a configuration (i, x, y) for some 2-register machine \mathcal{R} by the triple $[i, x, y] = (i, 2^x, 2^y)$ in the additive group \mathbb{Z}^3 . Each transition yields a partial affine bijection of one of the following kinds:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{i\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{j\} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \{i\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{j\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \\ (i, x, y) \mapsto (j, 2x, y) & (i, x, y) \mapsto (j, x, 2y) \\ \\ \{i\} \times \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{j\} \times \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z} & \{i\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \{j\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \{1\} \\ (i, 1, y) \mapsto (j, 1, y) & (i, x, 1) \mapsto (j, x, 1) \\ \\ \{i\} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{k\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \{i\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{k\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\ (i, 2x, y) \mapsto (k, x, y) & (i, x, 2y) \mapsto (k, x, y) \end{array}$$

We get a finite set Φ of partial affine bijections which satisfies the following properties:

- $(i, x, y) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} (i', x', y')$ if and only if $[i, x, y] \rightarrow_{\Phi} [i', x', y']$;
- if $u \rightarrow_{\Phi} u'$ and u is of the form $[i, x, y]$, then u' is of the form $[i', x', y']$;
- if $u \rightarrow_{\Phi} u'$ and u' is of the form $[i', x', y']$, then u is of the form $[i, x, y]$.

Hence, we have $(i, x, y) \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* (0, 0, 0)$ if and only if $[i, x, y] \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* [0, 0, 0]$. By exercise 3, the special halting problem for \mathcal{R} reduces to the connection problem for Φ .

By exercise 5, we can replace Φ by a finite set of finitary partial isomorphisms in \mathbb{Z}^4 . Finally, we can apply theorem 1. \square

4 The Magnus problem

If S, R is a finite presentation of monoid for a group G , we write x^R for the class of x modulo R in S^* . If $H < G$ is finitely generated, we consider the following problem:

Magnus problem: given $x \in S^*$, do we have $x^R \in H$?

Note that for $H = \{1\}$, we get the word problem as a special case.

If $G < F$ and $u \in F$, we define the *centralizer* $Z_G(z) = \{x \in G \mid zx = xz\} < G$.

Proposition 3 If H is a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely presented group G , there is an element z in some finitely presented extension F of G such that $Z_G(z) = H$.

In particular, the Magnus problem for G reduces to the commutation problem for F , which is a special case of the word problem.

Proof: Choose generators u_1, \dots, u_n for the subgroup H and define F as follows:

$$F = G * \langle b \rangle / \leftrightarrow_R^* \text{ where } R = \{(bu_i, u_i b) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Using the standard presentation of G , we get a presentation of F by the symbols a_x (for $x \in G$), b and \bar{b} , with the following relations:

$$a_x a_y = a_{xy}, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad b\bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{b}b = 1, \quad ba_u = a_u b \text{ (if } u \in H\text{)}.$$

We choose a representative in each right class modulo H , and we write H^\perp for the set of all those representatives, so that each $x \in G$ has a unique decomposition $x = uv$ with $u \in H$ and $v \in H^\perp$. Moreover, we assume that $1 \in H^\perp$.

Now, we can add the superfluous generators $b_v = ba_v$ and $c_v = \bar{b}a_v$ for each $v \in H^\perp$, and the following derivable relations:

$$b = b_1, \quad \bar{b} = c_1, \quad b_1 c_v = a_v \text{ and } c_1 b_v = a_v \text{ (if } v \in H^\perp\text{)},$$

$$b_v a_x = a_u b_w \text{ and } c_v a_x = a_u c_w \text{ (if } vx = uw \text{ with } u \in H \text{ and } v, w \in H^\perp\text{)}.$$

Then we can remove the following relations, which become derivable:

$$b\bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{b}b = 1, \quad ba_u = a_u b \text{ (if } u \in H\text{)}, \quad b_v = ba_v \text{ and } c_v = \bar{b}a_v \text{ (if } v \in H^\perp\text{)}.$$

By removing the superfluous generators $b = b_1$ and $\bar{b} = c_1$, we get a presentation of F by the symbols a_x (for $x \in G$), b_v and c_v (for $v \in H^\perp$) with the following relations:

$$a_x a_y = a_{xy}, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad b_1 c_v = a_v \text{ and } c_1 b_v = a_v \text{ (if } v \in H^\perp\text{)},$$

$$b_v a_x = a_u b_w \text{ and } c_v a_x = a_u c_w \text{ (if } vx = uw \text{ with } u \in H \text{ and } v, w \in H^\perp\text{)}.$$

This presentation is convergent (exercise 8). By the injectivity criterion, the canonical injection $\iota_1 : G \rightarrow G * \langle b \rangle$ induces an injective morphism from G into F , and similarly for $\iota_2 : \langle b \rangle \rightarrow G * \langle b \rangle$. Hence, F can be seen as an extension of both G and $\langle b \rangle$.

Now, consider the two words $b_1 a_x$ and $a_x b_1$ for $x = uv$ with $u \in H$ and $v \in H^\perp$:

- the reduced form of the first one is $a_u b_v$ (or b_v if $u = 1$);
- the second one is reduced (or its reduced form is b_1 if $x = 1$).

Hence, $b_1 a_x$ and $a_x b_1$ have the same reduced form if and only if $v = 1$, that is $x \in H$. Therefore, $H = Z_G(b)$, since b_1 is just another name for b . \square

Exercise 6 Which extension F do we get in case $H = \{1\}$ and in case $H = G$?

Exercise 7 Prove that F is an extension of both G and $\langle b \rangle$ without using rewriting.

Indication: Define two projections $\pi_1 : F \rightarrow G$ and $\pi_2 : F \rightarrow \langle b \rangle$.

Exercise 8 Check that the above presentation of F is noetherian and confluent.

Exercise 9 Prove that $G \cap \langle L \cup \{b\} \rangle = L$ for any $L < G$.

Indication: Choose representatives in L when it is possible, and check that if a word consists of symbols whose indices are in L , so does its reduced form.

5 Higman-Neumann-Neumann extensions

Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ be a partial isomorphism in G . If $z \in G$ is such that $zxz^{-1} = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in H$, we say that z represents φ . If $X \subset G$ is such that $\varphi(H \cap X) = K \cap X$, we say that X is φ -invariant. Note that in that case, X is also φ^{-1} -invariant.

Proposition 4 *If $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ is a finitary partial isomorphism in a finitely presented group G , there is an element z in some finitely presented extension F of G such that z represents φ and $G \cap \langle L \cup \{z\} \rangle = L$ for any φ -invariant subgroup L of G .*

Proof: Choose generators u_1, \dots, u_n for the subgroup H and define F as follows:

$$F = G * \langle b \rangle / \leftrightarrow_R^* \text{ where } R = \{(bu_i, \varphi(u_i)b) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

We introduce the sets H^\perp and K^\perp as in the proof of proposition 3. We get a convergent presentation of F by the symbols a_x (for $x \in G$), b_v (for $v \in H^\perp$) and c_v (for $v \in K^\perp$) with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_x a_y &= a_{xy}, & a_1 &= 1, & b_1 c_v &= a_v \text{ (if } v \in K^\perp\text{)}, & c_1 b_v &= a_v \text{ (if } v \in H^\perp\text{)}, \\ b_v a_x &= a_{\varphi(v)} b_w \text{ (if } vx = uw \text{ with } u \in H \text{ and } v, w \in H^\perp\text{)}, \\ c_v a_x &= a_{\varphi^{-1}(v)} c_w \text{ (if } vx = uw \text{ with } u \in K \text{ and } v, w \in K^\perp\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the intectivity criterion, F is an extension of both G and $\langle b \rangle$. Moreover, if $x \in H$, the reduced form of $b_1 a_x c_1$ is $a_{\varphi(x)}$ (or 1 if $x = 1$), which means that b represents φ . The second property is proved by the same method as for exercise 9. \square

Exercise 10 *Prove that $G \cap b^{-1}Gb = H$ and $G \cap bGb^{-1} = K$.*

This means that, given $G < F$, the partial isomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ is completely determined by $b \in F$. This F is called a *Higman-Neumann-Neumann extension* of G .

Let Φ be a set of partial isomorphisms in G . If $Z \subset G$ is such that any $\varphi \in \Phi$ is represented by some $z \in Z$, we say that Z represents Φ . If $X \subset G$ is such that X is φ -invariant for any $\varphi \in \Phi$, we say that X is Φ -invariant.

Proposition 5 *If Φ is a finite set of finitary partial isomorphisms in a finitely presented group G , there is a finite subset Z of some finitely presented extension F of G such that Z represents Φ and $G \cap \langle L \cup Z \rangle = L$ for any Φ -invariant subgroup L of G .*

Proof: Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ with $\varphi_i : H_i \rightarrow K_i$ for each i . By iterating the previous construction, we get a chain of finitely presented extensions $G = F_0 < F_1 < \dots < F_n$ and $z_i \in F_i$ which represents φ_i for each i , so that $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ represents Φ .

If L is a Φ -invariant subgroup of G , we define $L_i = \langle L \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_i\} \rangle < F_i$ for each i . In particular, $L_0 = L$, so that $G \cap L_0 = G \cap L = L$. More generally, we prove that $G \cap L_i < L$ by induction on i :

If it holds for $i < n$, then $H_{i+1} \cap L_i < G \cap L_i < L$ so that $H_{i+1} \cap L_i = H_{i+1} \cap L$. Similarly, $K_{i+1} \cap L_i = K_{i+1} \cap L$, and since L is φ_{i+1} -invariant, so is L_i . Hence, $G \cap L_{i+1} < F_i \cap L_{i+1} = F_i \cap \langle L_i \cup \{z_{i+1}\} \rangle = L_i$ so that $G \cap L_{i+1} < G \cap L_i < L$.

Finally, $G \cap \langle L \cup Z \rangle = G \cap L_n < L$, and the converse inclusion holds trivially. \square

6 Formal conjugates

For any group G , we define $\widehat{G} = G * \langle b \rangle$. This group is an extension of both G and $\langle b \rangle$. Note also that $\widehat{H} = H * \langle b \rangle = \langle H \cup \{b\} \rangle < \widehat{G}$ for any $H < G$.

We also define $\sharp x = xbx^{-1} \in \widehat{G}$ for any $x \in G$, and $X^\sharp = \langle \sharp X \rangle < \widehat{G}$ for any $X \subset G$, where $\sharp X = \{\sharp x \mid x \in X\} \subset \widehat{G}$. Note that $X^\sharp < G^\sharp < \widehat{G}$.

Proposition 6 *For any group G , the family $(\sharp x)_{x \in G}$ is free in \widehat{G} .*

Proof: Using the standard presentation of G , we get a presentation of \widehat{G} by the symbols a_x (for $x \in G$), b and \bar{b} , with the following relations:

$$a_x a_y = a_{xy}, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad b\bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{b}b = 1.$$

We add the superfluous generators $b_x = a_x b a_{x^{-1}}$ and $\bar{b}_x = a_x \bar{b} a_{x^{-1}}$ for each $x \in G$, and the following derivable relations:

$$b = b_1, \quad \bar{b} = \bar{b}_1, \quad b_x \bar{b}_x = 1, \quad \bar{b}_x b_x = 1, \quad a_x b_y = b_{xy} a_x, \quad a_x \bar{b}_y = \bar{b}_{xy} a_x.$$

Then we remove the following relations, which become derivable:

$$b\bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{b}b = 1, \quad b_x = a_x b a_{x^{-1}}, \quad \bar{b}_x = a_x \bar{b} a_{x^{-1}}.$$

By removing the superfluous generators $b = b_1$ and $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_1$, we get a presentation of \widehat{G} by the symbols a_x, b_x and \bar{b}_x (for $x \in G$) with the following relations:

$$a_x a_y = a_{xy}, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad b_x \bar{b}_x = 1, \quad \bar{b}_x b_x = 1, \quad a_x b_y = b_{xy} a_x, \quad a_x \bar{b}_y = \bar{b}_{xy} a_x.$$

This presentation is convergent (exercise 11).

Let F be the free group generated by the symbols b_x (for $x \in G$). We have a convergent presentation of F by the symbols b_x and \bar{b}_x (for $x \in G$) with the following relations:

$$b_x \bar{b}_x = 1, \quad \bar{b}_x b_x = 1.$$

Since b_x is just another name for $\sharp x$, the result follows from the injectivity criterion. \square

Exercise 11 *Check that the above presentation of \widehat{G} is noetherian and confluent.*

Exercise 12 *Prove that $\sharp x \in X^\sharp$ if and only if $x \in X$.*

Indication: Check that if a word consists of symbols of the form b_x or \bar{b}_x with $x \in X$, so does its reduced form.

Exercise 13 *Prove that $\widehat{H} \cap X^\sharp = (H \cap X)^\sharp$ for any $H < G$ and $X \subset G$.*

Indication: Check that if a word consists of symbols whose indices are in H , so does its reduced form.

Any partial isomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ in G extends to $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{H} \rightarrow \widehat{K}$ in \widehat{G} with $\widehat{\varphi}(b) = b$. In particular, $\widehat{\varphi}(\sharp x) = \sharp \varphi(x)$ for any $x \in H$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(X^\sharp) = \varphi(X)^\sharp$ for any $X \subset H$.

Exercise 14 *Prove that if $X \subset G$ is φ -invariant, then $X^\sharp < \widehat{G}$ is $\widehat{\varphi}$ -invariant.*

Proposition 7 *If Φ is a finite set of finitary partial isomorphisms in a finitely presented group G , there is a finite subset Z of some finitely presented extension F of \widehat{G} such that for any $x, x_0 \in G$, we have $x \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* x_0$ if and only if $\#x \in \langle \{\#x_0\} \cup Z \rangle$.*

Proof: We have a finite set $\widehat{\Phi}$ of finitary partial isomorphisms in \widehat{G} . By proposition 5, we get some finitely presented extension F of \widehat{G} and a finite subset Z of F such that Z represents $\widehat{\Phi}$. Hence, it is easy to see that $\#x \in \langle \{\#x_0\} \cup Z \rangle$ whenever $x \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* x_0$.

Let $x_0 \in G$ and $X_0 = \{x \in G \mid x \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* x_0\}$. Then X_0 is Φ -invariant by construction. By exercise 14, $X_0^{\#}$ is $\widehat{\Phi}$ -invariant, so that $\widehat{G} \cap \langle X_0^{\#} \cup Z \rangle = X_0^{\#}$. If $\#x \in \langle \{\#x_0\} \cup Z \rangle$, then $\#x \in \widehat{G} \cap \langle X_0^{\#} \cup Z \rangle = X_0^{\#}$. By exercise 12, we get $x \in X_0$, that is $x \leftrightarrow_{\Phi}^* x_0$. \square

Hence, the connection problem for Φ reduces to the Magnus problem for some $H < F$. By proposition 2, the Magnus problem is undecidable for some $H < F$, and theorem 2 follows from proposition 3. Note that commutation for groups is also undecidable.

Exercise 15 *Starting from a 2-register machine with n instructions, p of them being branchings, how many generators and relations do we get for the group of theorem 2?*