

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{T+H} |G_1(t)|^2 dt &\geq \int_{T+101U}^{T+H} |\tilde{G}_1(t)|^2 dt \\ &\geq \int_{T+101U}^{T+H} \left( |\tilde{G}_2(t)|^2 + 2\Re F(t)\overline{\tilde{G}_2(t)} + 2\Re \overline{F(t)}G_3(t) + 2\Re G_2(t)\overline{G_3(t)} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_T^{T+H} |G_3(t)|^2 dt \ll HSM^{-2}$ , the terms involving  $G_3(t)$  give a small error term by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Since  $G_2(t)$  is  $\sum_{n \leq H} a_n n^{-s}$  at  $s = 1/2 + it$ , and  $F(t)$  is the analytic continuation of  $\sum_{n > H+H^{1/4}} a_n n^{-s}$  at  $s = 1/2 + it$ , the integral  $\int_{T+U}^{T+H-101U} G_2(t)\overline{F(t)}$  is of the form discussed in Lemma 4 and hence small. Again by Lemma 5,

$$\int_{T+101U}^{T+H} |\tilde{G}_2(t)|^2 dt \geq \int_{T+101U}^{T+H-101U} |G_2(t)|^2 dt = \sum_{n \leq H} (H - 204U + O(n)) \frac{|a_n|^2}{n}.$$

This completes the proof.

Applications to omega results for the error term in the summatory functions of arithmetic functions were also given.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balasubramanian and K. Ramachandra, Proof of some conjectures on the mean value of Titchmarsh Series I, *Hardy Ramanujan J.* **13** (1990), 1–20.
- [2] R. Balasubramanian and K. Ramachandra, Proof of some conjectures on the mean value of Titchmarsh Series II, *Hardy Ramanujan J.* **14** (1991), 1–20.
- [3] R. Balasubramanian and K. Ramachandra, Proof of some conjectures on the mean value of Titchmarsh Series III, *Proc. Indian Acad. of Sci.* **102** (1992), 83–91.
- [4] A. Ivić, Lectures on mean values of Riemann zeta function, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research 1991.

#### A continuity property connected with Nyman's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis

MICHEL BALAZARD

(joint work with Nicolas Jousse)

Let  $\{u\}$  denote the fractional part of the real number  $u$ , and, for  $u > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , define  $g_\alpha(u) := \{\alpha/u\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of linear combinations of the  $g_\alpha$ 's, and  $\mathcal{N}$  be the subset of those elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  which vanish on  $(1, +\infty)$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{B} \subset L^p(0, +\infty)$  for  $1 < p \leq +\infty$ , and that  $\mathcal{N} \subset L^\infty(0, 1)$ .

A striking result from Nyman's thesis (1950) is the following.

**THEOREM 1.** (Nyman [2]) *The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to  $\mathcal{N}$  being dense in  $L^2(0, 1)$ .*

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}$  is dense in  $L^2(0, 1)$  if, and only if the characteristic function  $\chi$  of  $(0, 1)$  lies in the closure of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $L^2(0, +\infty)$ . Thus Nyman's theorem gives a reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis (RH) as an approximation problem in a Hilbert space. A further rephrasing of (RH) involves the quantity

$$(1) \quad \delta_n := \inf \left\{ \left\| \chi - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_{\alpha_k} \right\| : c_k \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1, k = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

the distance in  $L^2(0, +\infty)$  between  $\chi$  and the set of all  $n$ -terms linear combinations of the  $g_\alpha$ 's ; (RH) is plainly equivalent to  $\delta_n = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The inequality  $\delta_n \leq \delta_{n+1}$  is obvious. Is the sequence  $(\delta_n)$  strictly decreasing? The answer is positive and was given by Nicolas Jousse in his thesis (2004).

THEOREM 2. (Jousse [1]) *or every  $n \geq 1$ , one has  $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$ .*

It turns out that the main step in the proof of Theorem 2 consists in showing that the infimum in (1) is in fact a minimum. With this goal in mind, we denote by  $P_V$  the orthogonal projection on the closed subspace  $V$  of the (implicit) Hilbert space  $H$ . In the case  $H = L^2(0, +\infty)$ , one has

$$\delta_n = \inf \left\{ \left\| \chi - P_{\text{Vect}(g_{\alpha_1}, \dots, g_{\alpha_n})}(\chi) \right\| : 0 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq 1 \right\},$$

so that a sufficient condition for this infimum to be a minimum is the continuity of the map

$$\begin{aligned} [0, +\infty[^n &\rightarrow H \\ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &\mapsto P_{\text{Vect}(g_{\alpha_1}, \dots, g_{\alpha_n})}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

This last question is an instance of a general problem studied by Jousse. We discuss now the simplest form of this problem, whereas the application to  $(\delta_n)$  is handled by means of a slightly modified variant.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group, noted multiplicatively, with Haar measure  $\mu$ . For  $G \in H := L^2(G)$ , and  $\alpha \in G$ , define  $g_\alpha(x) := g(x\alpha^{-1})$ ,  $x \in G$ .

DEFINITION. *The function  $g \in L^2(G)$  is admissible if, for every  $y_0 \in G$ , and every positive integer  $n$ , the map*

$$\begin{aligned} G^n &\rightarrow H \\ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &\mapsto P_{\text{Vect}(g_{\alpha_1}, \dots, g_{\alpha_n})}(y_0). \end{aligned}$$

*is continuous.*

The general problem is to obtain a characterization of admissible functions. It may be difficult to get a complete answer. Let us note that some very regular functions, such as non-zero continuously differentiable functions with compact support (in the case where  $G$  is the real line), are *not* admissible.

Jousse described a class of admissible functions. We begin with two definitions and then state his result.

DEFINITION. *A measurable function  $f: g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an exponential polynomial if the vector space  $\text{Vect}(f_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$  has finite dimension.*

In the case where  $G = ]0, +\infty[ , \times$ , the exponential polynomials are linear combinations of functions  $x \mapsto x^\rho \log^k x$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

DEFINITION. A measurable function  $f: g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is countably simple if  $f(G)$  is countable.

THEOREM 3. (Jousse [1]) Assume  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, metrizable and not compact. Let  $f \in L^2(G)$  be such that  $f = f' + f''$ , where  $f'$  is an exponential polynomial, and  $f''$  is countably simple. Then  $f$  is admissible.

Observe that the error terms of analytic number theory are often sums (or differences) of an exponential polynomial on  $]0, +\infty[$  and a countably simple function. In particular, this is the case for  $t \mapsto \{1/t\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Jousse, Étude d'un problème de continuité lié à l'hypothèse de Riemann, Thesis, Bordeaux, 2004.
- [2] B. Nyman, On some groups and semigroups of translations, Thesis, Uppsala, 1950.

### Non-vanishing of class group $L$ -functions at the central point

VALENTIN BLOMER

The question as to whether an  $L$ -function vanishes at a special point on the critical line has arisen in various contexts and is apparently a fundamental one. By now there are numerous results that many members – in some cases even a positive proportion – of a certain family of  $L$ -functions do not vanish at the central point. This is of interest in various aspects such as the Birch–Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture, the Siegel zero (see [4]) and the theory of modular forms of half-integral weight (see [6]). A large number of old and new results around this theme can be found in [5].

Here we consider  $L$ -functions attached to class group characters of an imaginary quadratic field. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be the imaginary quadratic field of discriminant  $-D$ . We denote its class group by  $\mathcal{C}$  and write  $h = \#\mathcal{C}$ . For each character  $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  we have an  $L$ -function

$$L_K(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \chi(\mathfrak{a})(N \mathfrak{a})^{-s},$$

the summation being taken over all nonzero integral ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$ . For real characters  $L_K(s, \chi)$  is the product of two Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, while for complex characters  $L_K(s, \chi)$  comes from the cusp form  $\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \chi(\mathfrak{a})e(zN \mathfrak{a})$  of weight 1 for  $\Gamma_0(D)$  and character  $\chi_D$ . We shall obtain the following result [1].

THEOREM. There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{h} \#\{\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{C}} \mid L(1/2, \chi) \neq 0\} \geq c \prod_{p|D} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$